### **Drug-overdose associated acute hypoxemic respiratory failure: A secondary analysis**

Konstantinos Gkirgkiris<sup>1</sup>\*, Eleni Papoutsi<sup>1</sup>\*, Nikolaos Athanasiou<sup>1</sup>, Spyridon Gkoufas<sup>1</sup>, Ilias I. Siempos<sup>1,2</sup>

### ABSTRACT

**INTRODUCTION** The majority of fatal drug overdose cases are due to acute hypoxemic respiratory failure (AHRF). We examined whether AHRF associated with drug overdose has distinct features from AHRF associated with other risk factors.

**METHODS** We performed a secondary analysis of patient-level data from the LOTUS FRUIT study, a multicenter, prospective, observational study conducted by the PETAL Network. We classified patients with AHRF into the 'drug-overdose associated AHRF' (when PETAL investigators listed drug overdose as a risk factor of AHRF) versus the 'non-drug-overdose associated AHRF' group. To assess the association between drug overdose and 28-day mortality, we used a Cox proportional hazards regression analysis, both unadjusted and adjusted, and a mediation analysis.

**RESULTS** Of the 1280 patients with AHRF, 48 (3.8%) had drug-overdose associated AHRF. They were younger (42.0 vs 60.0 years), more likely to develop rapidly improving AHRF (50.0% vs 24.5%) and had lower unadjusted mortality than patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF (16.7% vs 34.4.%) (hazard ratio, HR=0.450; 95% Cl: 0.223–0.905). However, after adjustment, drug overdose was no longer associated with lower mortality (adjusted hazard ratio, AHR=0.584; 95% Cl: 0.288–1.185). Also, in mediation analysis, lower unadjusted mortality among patients with drug-overdose AHRF was significantly mediated by the development of rapidly improving AHRF (p<0.001 for the average causal mediation effect).

**CONCLUSIONS** Patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF were younger and had lower unadjusted mortality than patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF. However, this difference in mortality seemed to be due to confounders, such as age, and to be mediated by the development of rapidly improving AHRF.

### **AFFILIATION**

**1** 1st Department of Critical Care Medicine and Pulmonary Services, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Evaggelismos Hospital, Athens, Greece

2 Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care
 Medicine, Weill Department of Medicine,
 Cornell University, New York, United States
 \* Contributed equally

#### **CORRESPONDENCE TO**

llias I. Siempos. 1st Department of Critical Care Medicine and Pulmonary Services, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Evaggelismos Hospital, 45-47 Ipsilantou Street, 10676, Athens, Greece.

E-mail: isiempos@yahoo.com ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0036-3322

#### **KEYWORDS**

hypoxemia, acute respiratory distress syndrome, intensive care unit, critical care, substance abuse

Received: 14 October 2023 Revised: 6 December 2023 Accepted: 23 December 2023

### INTRODUCTION

Drug overdose is a serious public health problem requiring increasing usage of intensive care resources globally<sup>1</sup>. In the United States, the number of patients with drug overdose requiring admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) significantly increased by 34% between 2009 and 2015<sup>2</sup>. Around one in four of those patients presented with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure (AHRF)<sup>2</sup>. Potential mechanisms of drug-related AHRF may include (but not limited to): 1) impairment of consciousness leading to aspiration and subsequent pneumonia, and 2) direct insult of the lung parenchyma leading to pulmonary capillary leak and subsequent non-cardiogenic pulmonary oedema. The latter appears after abuse of opioids (such as heroin), cocaine, and amphetamines<sup>3</sup>. No matter what the underlying mechanism is, AHRF may be present in about 95% of fatal drug overdose cases<sup>4,5</sup>, which substantially increased during the pandemic of the new coronavirus disease<sup>6</sup>.

Although drug overdose is a recognized risk factor of AHRF, accounting for almost 2% of AHRF cases according to the large multicenter epidemiological LUNG SAFE study<sup>7</sup>, a direct comparison between drug overdose and other risk factors of AHRF seems lacking in the literature. It is not, therefore, well known whether AHRF associated with drug overdose has distinct features compared to AHRF associated with other risk factors. We hypothesized that drug-overdose associated AHRF may be associated with lower mortality compared to non-drug-overdose associated AHRF, probably due to confounders. For this reason, we endeavoured to compare the clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with AHRF associated or not with drug overdose.

### **METHODS**

### Study design and patient population

We performed a secondary analysis of individual patient-level data from the LOTUS FRUIT study<sup>8</sup>. The LOTUS FRUIT study

Published by European Publishing. © 2024 Gkirgkiris K. et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 International License. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0)

### **Research paper**

was a multicenter, prospective, observational cohort study conducted by the Prevention and Early Treatment of Acute Lung Injury (PETAL) Network and enrolled consecutive adult patients with acute respiratory failure who were admitted to ICU and received invasive mechanical ventilation<sup>8</sup>. Up to 100 patients per participating hospital were enrolled during a 30-day period between 1 July and 1 October 2016, and were followed until hospital discharge or day 28. Patients receiving chronic invasive mechanical ventilation through a tracheostomy, patients admitted to the ICU after elective surgery, those presenting to the hospital after more than a day of invasive mechanical ventilation, or those extubated before being transferred to the ICU, were excluded.

For the present secondary analysis, we included patients with AHRF following a two-step process. Firstly, given that AHRF necessarily encompasses acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS, a severe form of AHRF)<sup>9</sup>, we included in our analysis all patients determined by the LOTUS FRUIT investigators to have ARDS on the day of intubation (defined as the partial pressure of arterial oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen ratio PaO<sub>2</sub>:FiO<sub>2</sub> ≤300, not fully explained by cardiac failure or fluid overload, and bilateral infiltrates not fully explained by mass, collapse, or effusion on chest radiography as reviewed by site investigator)<sup>8,10</sup>. Secondly, we included in our analysis patients who did not meet all of the abovementioned criteria of ARDS, but they were intubated due to acute hypoxemia (defined as oxygen saturation SpO<sub>2</sub> <90% or PaO<sub>2</sub> <60 mmHg)<sup>8</sup>.

We categorized patients with AHRF into the two compared groups of the present secondary analysis, namely, the 'drugoverdose associated AHRF' group (when drug overdose was mentioned as either the sole risk factor or one of the risk factors associated with AHRF in a given patient) and the 'non-drug-overdose associated AHRF' group (when drug overdose was not mentioned among the risk factors associated with AHRF in a given patient). The latter group of 'non-drug-overdose associated AHRF' also included cases when no risk factor of AHRF was identifiable (256 patients, 20% of the included population)<sup>11,12</sup>. As previously<sup>13,14</sup>, the Biologic Specimen and Data Repository Information Coordinating Center of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute provided us with the requested data in a deidentified form after submission of a prospective protocol. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (protocol number 398/9-11-2022), which also waived the need for informed consent (non-human subjects research).

### Outcomes

The primary outcome of the present analysis was 28-day mortality, with patients discharged from the hospital with unassisted breathing prior to 28 days considered to be alive at 28 days. Secondary outcomes were differences in ventilator-free days, ICU-free days and prevalence of rapidly improving AHRF between compared groups through day 28 following intubation. As previously<sup>15,16</sup>, ventilator-free days

were defined as the number of days from the end of the last period of assisted breathing up to day 28. Hospitalized patients who died before day 28 were considered to have zero ventilator-free days. ICU-free days were defined as the number of days that the patient was alive and not in the ICU. Rapidly improving AHRF was defined as extubation or having a PaO<sub>2</sub> to the fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO<sub>2</sub>) ratio greater than 300 on the first day following intubation<sup>17-19</sup>.

#### **Statistical analysis**

We present continuous variables as median (interquartile range) and compare them using the Mann-Whitney U test. We present categorical variables as frequencies and percentages and compare them using the chi-squared or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. We assess the association between drug overdose and 28-day mortality (primary outcome) using a Cox proportional hazards regression analysis, both unadjusted and adjusted. The adjusted analysis takes into consideration age and the concurrent (i.e. along with drug overdose) presence of sepsis or shock as risk factors of AHRF. To construct the Cox proportional hazards regression model, we used all available information on outcomes (such as mortality) and the included variables. There were no missing data on outcomes, except from ICU-free days (15.9% missing values).

Also, we conducted a mediation analysis<sup>20</sup> by considering drug-overdose associated AHRF as the independent predictor of 28-day mortality and rapidly improving AHRF as the potential mediator. We examined whether variations in the mediator could explain the differential outcomes of patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF as opposed to patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF<sup>21</sup>. For the mediation analysis, we applied logistic regression of the generalized linear models to fit the binary mediator and outcome, and we utilized the nonparametric bootstrap for variance estimation. All p values were two-sided, and we considered statistical significance at an  $\alpha$  level of 0.05. We conducted all statistical analyses using SPSS software version 28.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) and R software version 4.2.1, with the R Package for Causal Mediation Analysis for the mediation analysis (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

### RESULTS

### **Baseline characteristics**

Supplementary file Figure 1 presents the flow diagram of patients included in the LOTUS FRUIT study. The present secondary analysis included 1280 patients with AHRF, of whom 684 (53.4%) met all definition criteria of ARDS, while the remaining 596 patients (46.6%) only met the acute hypoxemia criterion (Supplementary file Figure 1). Of the 1280 patients with AHRF, 48 (3.8%) had drug-overdose associated AHRF. Table 1 depicts the baseline characteristics of patients with AHRF in each of the compared groups. Patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF were younger

## Figure 1. Survival curves of patients with drug-overdose associated acute hypoxemic respiratory failure (AHRF) and non-drug-overdose associated AHRF during a 30-day period between 1 July and 1 October 2016 (N=1280)



For time-to-event analysis from intubation to 28-day mortality, we used an unadjusted Cox proportional-hazards regression model, and we plotted the corresponding Cox-generated estimated survival curves.

(42.0 vs 60.0 years, p<0.001), and were less likely to have sepsis (12.5% vs 29.1%, p=0.012) or shock (6.3% vs 18.1%, p=0.035) as risk factors of AHRF than patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF. Compared groups did not differ substantially in terms of organ failures and respiratory variables on the day of intubation. This was also the case for the first day following intubation, i.e. there was no difference between compared groups in terms of PaO<sub>2</sub>:FiO<sub>2</sub>, tidal volume per predicted body weight, plateau pressure, and respiratory rate (Table 1).

### **Outcomes of patients**

Table 2 depicts the outcomes of patients with AHRF in each of the compared groups. Patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF had lower unadjusted mortality (16.7% vs 34.4%, p=0.011) than patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF. In an unadjusted Cox proportional hazardsregression analysis, patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF had lower mortality by day 28 following intubation than patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF (hazard ratio, HR=0.450; 95% CI: 0.223–0.905, p=0.025) (Table 3). Figure 1 depicts the corresponding survival curves for each group.

With regard to secondary outcomes (Table 2), patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF, as opposed to nondrug-overdose associated AHRF, had more ventilator-free days (24.5 vs 18.0 days, p<0.001), more ICU-free days (24.0 vs 15.0 days, p<0.001) and were more likely to develop rapidly improving AHRF (50.0% vs 24.5%, p<0.001).

Table 3 depicts a Cox proportional-hazards regression analysis to isolate the contribution of drug overdose, age and the concurrent (i.e. along with drug overdose) presence of sepsis or shock as risk factors of AHRF (independent variables) to the 28-day mortality (dependent variable). In the adjusted analysis, drug overdose was no longer associated with lower mortality among patients with AHRF (adjusted hazards ratio, AHR=0.584; 95% CI: 0.288–1.185, p=0.136).

Given that patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF were more likely to develop rapidly improving AHRF than patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF, we conducted a mediation analysis by considering drugoverdose associated AHRF as the independent predictor of 28-day mortality, and rapidly improving AHRF as the potential mediator. The mediation analysis is depicted in

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with AHRF in each of the compared groups during a 30-day period between 1 July and 1 October 2016 (N=1280)

Age (years), median (IQR)60.0 (47.0-69.0)42.0 (31.0-49.8)60.0 (48.0-69.0)<0.011	Characteristics	All (N=1280) n (%)	Drug overdose (N=48)ª n (%)	Non-drug overdose (N=1232) n (%)	р
RaceImage: stand state	Age (years), median (IQR)	60.0 (47.0–69.0)	42.0 (31.0–49.8)	60.0 (48.0–69.0)	<0.001
White         773 (66.8)         34 (75.6)         739 (66.5)           Black         234 (20.2)         6 (13.3)         228 (20.5)           Hispanic or Latino         105 (9.1)         3 (6.7)         102 (9.2)           Asian         32 (2.8)         1 (2.2)         31 (2.8)           American Indian or Alaskan Native         13 (1.1)         1 (2.2)         12 (1.1) <b>Risk factors of AHRF</b> -         -         -           Pneumonia         311 (24.3)         13 (27.1)         298 (24.2)         0.646           Aspiration         182 (14.2)         10 (20.8)         172 (14.00)         0.181           Sepsis         365 (28.5)         6 (12.5)         359 (29.1)         0.002           Trauma         125 (9.8)         1 (2.1)         124 (10.1)         0.008           Shock         226 (17.7)         3 (63.3)         223 (18.1)         0.031           Other <sup>4</sup> 111 (8.7)         0 (0.0)         111 (8.0)         0.012           Itare failure         312 (29.0)         6 (12.8)         306 (29.8)         0.012           Liver failure         175 (6.3)         4 (8.5)         171 (16.6)         0.140           Coagulation failure         250 (23.3)	Females	522 (40.8)	16 (33.3)	506 (41.1)	0.284
Black         234 (20.2)         6 (13.3)         228 (20.5)           Hispanic or Latino         105 (9.1)         3 (6.7)         102 (9.2)           Asian         32 (2.8)         1 (2.2)         31 (2.8)           American Indian or Alaskan Native         13 (1.1)         1 (2.2)         12 (1.1)           Risk factors of AHRF         -         -         -           Pneumonia         311 (24.3)         13 (27.1)         298 (24.2)         0.646           Aspiration         182 (14.2)         10 (20.8)         172 (14.0)         0.181           Sepsis         365 (28.5)         6 (12.5)         359 (29.1)         0.020           Trauma         122 (61.7.7)         3 (63.3)         223 (18.1)         0.030           Shock         226 (17.7)         0 (00.0)         111 (9.0)         0.018           Other <sup>4</sup> 118 (8.7)         0 (0.00         111 (9.0)         0.018           Shock         226 (17.7)         3 (63.3)         223 (18.1)         0.012           Uther failure         112 (19.0)         6 (12.8)         306 (29.8)         0.012           Liver failure         175 (16.3)         4 (85.5)         171 (16.6)         0.140           Coagulation failure	Race				0.514
Hispanic or Latino105 (9.1)3 (6.7)102 (9.2)Asian32 (2.8)1 (2.2)31 (2.8)American Indian or Alaskan Native13 (1.1)1 (2.2)1 2 (1.1)Risk factors of AHRFPneumonia311 (24.3)13 (27.1)298 (24.2)0.646Aspiration182 (14.2)10 (20.8)172 (14.0)0.181Sepsis365 (28.5)6 (12.5)359 (29.1)0.002Trauma125 (9.8)1 (2.1)124 (10.1)0.080Shock226 (17.7)3 (6.3)223 (18.1)0.035Other <sup>4</sup> 111 (8.7)0 (0.0)111 (9.0)0.018Other day of intubationRenal failure312 (29.0)6 (12.8)306 (29.8)0.012Liver failure175 (16.3)4 (8.5)171 (16.6)0.140Coagletion failure146.5 (87.8-216.0)157.0 (82.9-250.0)146.3 (87.9-216.0)0.518Pa0 <sub>2</sub> -FiO <sub>2</sub> 146.5 (87.8-216.0)157.0 (82.9-250.0)146.3 (87.9-216.0)0.621Pa0 <sub>2</sub> -FiO <sub>2</sub> 146.5 (87.8-216.0)157.0 (82.9-250.0)146.3 (87.9-216.0)0.621Paletau pressure20.0 (16.0-25.0)146.3 (61.7-9)7.0 (6.1-8.0)0.621Paletau pressure20.0 (16.0-25.0)18.0 (15.0-23.5)20.0 (16.0-25.0)0.667Pa0 <sub>2</sub> -FiO <sub>2</sub> among intubated patients <sup>6</sup> 6.90 (15.0-21.0)150.0 (15.0-21.0)20.0 (15.0-23.5)0.676Paletau pressure20.0 (16.0-23.0)16.5 (6.1-7.9)6.7 (6.0-7.	White	773 (66.8)	34 (75.6)	739 (66.5)	
Asian         32 (2.8)         1 (2.2)         31 (2.8)           American Indian or Alaskan Native         13 (1.1)         1 (2.2)         12 (1.1)           Risk factors of AHRF         I         I         298 (24.2)         0.646           Aspiration         182 (14.2)         10 (20.8)         172 (14.0)         0.181           Sepsis         365 (28.5)         6 (12.5)         359 (29.1)         0.012           Trauma         125 (9.8)         1 (2.1)         124 (10.1)         0.080           Shock         226 (17.7)         3 (6.3)         223 (18.1)         0.035           Other <sup>4</sup> 111 (8.7)         0 (0.0)         111 (9.0)         0.018           Shock         226 (17.7)         3 (6.3)         223 (18.1)         0.035           Other <sup>4</sup> 111 (8.7)         0 (0.0)         111 (9.0)         0.018           Stock         225 (17.7)         3 (6.3)         223 (18.1)         0.035           Other day of intubation         I         I         0.012         Itil (8.7)         0.010         111 (9.0)         0.018           Chard failure         312 (29.0)         6 (12.8)         306 (29.8)         0.012         Itil (8.0)         0.014         0.140.6)         <	Black	234 (20.2)	6 (13.3)	228 (20.5)	
American Indian or Alaskan Native         13 (1.1)         1 (2.2)         12 (1.1)           Risk factors of AHRF         I <thi< th="">         I         I</thi<>	Hispanic or Latino	105 (9.1)	3 (6.7)	102 (9.2)	
Risk factors of AHRFImage: state of the state	Asian	32 (2.8)	1 (2.2)	31 (2.8)	
Pheumonia         311 (24.3)         13 (27.1)         298 (24.2)         0.646           Aspiration         182 (14.2)         10 (20.8)         172 (14.0)         0.181           Sepsis         365 (28.5)         6 (12.5)         359 (29.1)         0.0120           Trauma         125 (9.8)         1 (2.1)         124 (10.1)         0.0030           Shock         226 (17.7)         3 (6.3)         223 (18.1)         0.031           Other <sup>9</sup> 226 (17.7)         3 (6.3)         223 (18.1)         0.0130           Other <sup>9</sup> 226 (17.7)         3 (6.3)         223 (18.1)         0.0131           Other <sup>9</sup> 111 (8.7)         0 (0.0)         111 (9.0)         0.013           Other <sup>9</sup> 312 (29.0)         6 (12.8)         306 (29.8)         0.012           Liver failure         312 (29.0)         4 (8.5)         171 (16.6)         0.140           Coaglation failure         175 (16.3)         4 (8.5)         171 (16.6)         0.140           Palog_FlOg         146.5 (87.8–216.0)         157.0 (82.9–250.0)         146.5 (87.8–216.0)         157.0 (82.9–250.0)         146.5 (87.8–216.0)         150.0 (15.0–23.5)         21.0 (17.0–26.0)         0.051           Palog_FlOg         20.0 (16.0–25.0) <td>American Indian or Alaskan Native</td> <td>13 (1.1)</td> <td colspan="2">13 (1.1) 1 (2.2)</td> <td></td>	American Indian or Alaskan Native	13 (1.1)	13 (1.1) 1 (2.2)		
Aspiration         182 (14.2)         10 (20.8)         172 (14.0)         0.181           Sepsis         365 (28.5)         6 (12.5)         359 (29.1)         0.012           Trauma         125 (9.8)         1 (2.1)         124 (10.1)         0.080           Shock         226 (17.7)         3 (6.3)         223 (18.1)         0.035           Other <sup>b</sup> 111 (8.7)         0 (0.0)         111 (9.0)         0.018           On the day of intubation	Risk factors of AHRF				
Sepsis         365 (28.5)         6 (12.5)         359 (29.1)         0.012           Trauma         125 (9.8)         1 (2.1)         124 (10.1)         0.080           Shock         226 (17.7)         3 (6.3)         223 (18.1)         0.035           Other <sup>b</sup> 111 (8.7)         0 (0.0)         111 (9.0)         0.018           On the day of intubation         T         T         0 (0.0)         111 (9.0)         0.012           Renal failure         312 (29.0)         6 (12.8)         306 (29.8)         0.012           Liver failure         175 (16.3)         4 (8.5)         171 (16.6)         0.140           Coagulation failure         257 (23.9)         7 (14.9)         250 (24.3)         0.138           PA0 <sub>2</sub> -FiO <sub>2</sub> 146.5 (87.8–216.0)         157.0 (82.9–250.0)         146.3 (87.9–216.0)         0.518           Tidal volume per predicted body         7.0 (6.1–8.0)         6.5 (6.1–7.9)         7.0 (6.1–8.0)         0.251           Pateau pressure         21.0 (17.0–25.0)         18.0 (15.0–23.5)         21.0 (17.0–26.0)         0.656           On the first day following         169.0 (115.0–237.0)         20.0 (16.0–25.0)         20.0 (16.0–25.0)         0.667           Pa0 <sub>2</sub> -FiO <sub>2</sub> among intubated patients <sup>e</sup>	Pneumonia	311 (24.3)	13 (27.1)	298 (24.2)	0.646
Trauma         125 (9.8)         1 (2.1)         124 (10.1)         0.080           Shock         226 (17.7)         3 (6.3)         223 (18.1)         0.031           Other <sup>b</sup> 111 (8.7)         0 (0.0)         111 (9.0)         0.018           On the day of intubation	Aspiration	182 (14.2)	10 (20.8)	172 (14.0)	0.181
Shock226 (17.7)3 (6.3)223 (18.1)0.035Otherb111 (8.7)0 (0.0)111 (9.0)0.018On the day of intubation </td <td>Sepsis</td> <td>365 (28.5)</td> <td>6 (12.5)</td> <td>359 (29.1)</td> <td>0.012</td>	Sepsis	365 (28.5)	6 (12.5)	359 (29.1)	0.012
Other         Interaction         Interaction         Interaction           Other         111 (8.7)         0 (0.0)         111 (9.0)         0.018           On the day of intubation         Image:	Trauma	125 (9.8)	1 (2.1)	124 (10.1)	0.080
On the day of intubation         Image: Figure	Shock	226 (17.7)	3 (6.3)	223 (18.1)	0.035
Renal failure         312 (29.0)         6 (12.8)         306 (29.8)         0.012           Liver failure         175 (16.3)         4 (8.5)         171 (16.6)         0.140           Coagulation failure         257 (23.9)         7 (14.9)         250 (24.3)         0.138           Median (UQR)         Median (UQR)         Median (UQR)         Median (UQR)         146.5 (87.8-216.0)         10.5 (11.8-216.0)         10.5 (11.8-210.0)         10.5 (11.8-210.0)         10.6 (15.0-25.0)         20.0 (15.0-25.0)         20.0 (15.0-25.0)         20.6 (17.0-7.8)         10.6 (17.8-211.4)         170.0 (11.5.0-237.0)         10.6 (17.8-211.4)         170.0 (11.5.0-237.0)         10.6 (17.8-211.4)         10.7 (10.7-7.8)         10.6 (17.8-21.4)         10.6 (17.8-21.4)         10.6 (17.8-21.4)         10.6 (17.8-21.4)         10.6 (17.8-21.4	Other <sup>b</sup>	111 (8.7)	0 (0.0)	111 (9.0)	0.018
Liver failure         175 (16.3)         4 (8.5)         171 (16.6)         0.140           Coagulation failure         257 (23.9)         7 (14.9)         250 (24.3)         0.138           Median (IQR)         Median (IQR)         Median (IQR)         Median (IQR)         Median (IQR)         0.518           PaO <sub>2</sub> :FiO <sub>2</sub> 146.5 (87.8–216.0)         157.0 (82.9–250.0)         146.3 (87.9–216.0)         0.518           Tidal volume per predicted body weight         7.0 (6.1–8.0)         6.5 (6.1–7.9)         7.0 (6.1–8.0)         0.251           Plateau pressure         21.0 (17.0–25.0)         18.0 (15.0–23.5)         21.0 (17.0–26.0)         0.0518           Respiratory rate         20.0 (16.0–25.0)         20.0 (15.0–31.5)         20.0 (16.0–25.0)         0.666           PaO <sub>2</sub> :FiO <sub>2</sub> among intubated patients <sup>ce</sup> 169.0 (115.0–237.0)         165.0 (111.8–211.4)         170.0 (115.0–237.5)         0.667           PaO <sub>2</sub> :FiO <sub>2</sub> among intubated patients <sup>ce</sup> 169.0 (15.0–237.0)         165.0 (111.8–211.4)         170.0 (115.0–237.5)         0.667           PaO <sub>2</sub> :FiO <sub>2</sub> among intubated patients <sup>ce</sup> 169.0 (17.0–24.0)         16.3 (6.1–7.6)         6.7 (6.0–7.8)         0.472           Weight         0.0 (17.0–24.0)         19.0 (16.0–22.0)         21.0 (17.0–24.0)         0.369	On the day of intubation				
Coagulation failure         257 (23.9)         7 (14.9)         250 (24.3)         0.138           Median (IQR)         Median (IQR)         Median (IQR)         Median (IQR)         0.138           PaO2:FiO2         146.5 (87.8–216.0)         157.0 (82.9–250.0)         146.3 (87.9–216.0)         0.518           Tidal volume per predicted body weight         7.0 (6.1–8.0)         6.5 (6.1–7.9)         7.0 (6.1–8.0)         0.251           Plateau pressure         21.0 (17.0–25.0)         18.0 (15.0–23.5)         21.0 (17.0–26.0)         0.0518           Respiratory rate         20.0 (16.0–25.0)         20.0 (15.0–31.5)         20.0 (16.0–25.0)         0.666           PaO2:FiO2 among intubated patients°         169.0 (115.0–237.0)         165.0 (111.8–211.4)         170.0 (115.0–237.5)         0.667           Tidal volume per predicted body weight         6.7 (6.0–7.8)         6.3 (6.1–7.6)         6.7 (6.0–7.8)         0.472           Plateau pressure         20.0 (17.0–24.0)         19.0 (16.0–22.0)         21.0 (17.0–24.0)         0.369	Renal failure	312 (29.0)	6 (12.8)	306 (29.8)	0.012
Median (IQR)Median (IQR)Median (IQR)Median (IQR)PaO2:FiO2146.5 (87.8-216.0)157.0 (82.9-250.0)146.3 (87.9-216.0)0.518Tidal volume per predicted body weight7.0 (6.1-8.0)6.5 (6.1-7.9)7.0 (6.1-8.0)0.251Plateau pressure21.0 (17.0-25.0)18.0 (15.0-23.5)21.0 (17.0-26.0)0.0518Respiratory rate20.0 (16.0-25.0)20.0 (15.0-31.5)20.0 (16.0-25.0)0.666On the first day following intubation169.0 (115.0-237.0)165.0 (111.8-211.4)170.0 (115.0-237.5)0.667Pad2:FiO2 among intubated patients°6.7 (6.0-7.8)6.3 (6.1-7.6)6.7 (6.0-7.8)0.472Plateau pressure20.0 (17.0-24.0)19.0 (16.0-22.0)21.0 (17.0-24.0)0.369	Liver failure	175 (16.3)	4 (8.5)	171 (16.6)	0.140
PaO2:FiO2       146.5 (87.8-216.0)       157.0 (82.9-250.0)       146.3 (87.9-216.0)       0.518         Tidal volume per predicted body weight       7.0 (6.1-8.0)       6.5 (6.1-7.9)       7.0 (6.1-8.0)       0.251         Plateau pressure       21.0 (17.0-25.0)       18.0 (15.0-23.5)       21.0 (17.0-26.0)       0.0518         Respiratory rate       20.0 (16.0-25.0)       20.0 (15.0-31.5)       20.0 (16.0-25.0)       0.666         On the first day following intubated patients°       169.0 (115.0-237.0)       165.0 (111.8-211.4)       170.0 (115.0-237.5)       0.667         PaO2:FiO2 among intubated patients°       6.7 (6.0-7.8)       6.3 (6.1-7.6)       6.7 (6.0-7.8)       0.472         Plateau pressure       20.0 (17.0-24.0)       19.0 (16.0-22.0)       21.0 (17.0-24.0)       0.369	Coagulation failure	257 (23.9)	7 (14.9)	250 (24.3)	0.138
Tidal volume per predicted body weight7.0 (6.1–8.0)6.5 (6.1–7.9)7.0 (6.1–8.0)0.251Plateau pressure21.0 (17.0–25.0)18.0 (15.0–23.5)21.0 (17.0–26.0)0.051Respiratory rate20.0 (16.0–25.0)20.0 (15.0–31.5)20.0 (16.0–25.0)0.666On the first day following intubation169.0 (115.0–237.0)165.0 (111.8–211.4)170.0 (115.0–237.5)0.667PaO2:FiO2 among intubated patients169.0 (115.0–237.0)6.3 (6.1–7.6)6.7 (6.0–7.8)0.472Plateau pressure20.0 (17.0–24.0)19.0 (16.0–22.0)21.0 (17.0–24.0)0.369		Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	
weight         Image: Constraint of the service o	PaO <sub>2</sub> :FiO <sub>2</sub>	146.5 (87.8–216.0)	157.0 (82.9–250.0)	146.3 (87.9–216.0)	0.518
Respiratory rate       20.0 (16.0–25.0)       20.0 (15.0–31.5)       20.0 (16.0–25.0)       0.666         On the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant of the first day following intubated patients       Image: Constant		7.0 (6.1–8.0)	6.5 (6.1–7.9)	7.0 (6.1–8.0)	0.251
On the first day following intubation         Image: Fio_2 among intubated patients°         169.0 (115.0–237.0)         165.0 (111.8–211.4)         170.0 (115.0–237.5)         0.667           PaO_2:FiO_2 among intubated patients°         6.7 (6.0–7.8)         6.3 (6.1–7.6)         6.7 (6.0–7.8)         0.472           Tidal volume per predicted body weight         20.0 (17.0–24.0)         19.0 (16.0–22.0)         21.0 (17.0–24.0)         0.369	Plateau pressure	21.0 (17.0–25.0)	18.0 (15.0–23.5)	21.0 (17.0–26.0)	0.051
intubation         Image: Fio_2 among intubated patients°         169.0 (115.0–237.0)         165.0 (111.8–211.4)         170.0 (115.0–237.5)         0.667           Tidal volume per predicted body weight         6.7 (6.0–7.8)         6.3 (6.1–7.6)         6.7 (6.0–7.8)         0.472           Plateau pressure         20.0 (17.0–24.0)         19.0 (16.0–22.0)         21.0 (17.0–24.0)         0.369	Respiratory rate	20.0 (16.0–25.0)	20.0 (15.0–31.5)	20.0 (16.0–25.0)	0.666
Tidal volume per predicted body weight       6.7 (6.0–7.8)       6.3 (6.1–7.6)       6.7 (6.0–7.8)       0.472         Plateau pressure       20.0 (17.0–24.0)       19.0 (16.0–22.0)       21.0 (17.0–24.0)       0.369	, ,				
weight       20.0 (17.0-24.0)       19.0 (16.0-22.0)       21.0 (17.0-24.0)       0.369	PaO <sub>2</sub> :FiO <sub>2</sub> among intubated patients <sup>c</sup>	169.0 (115.0–237.0)	165.0 (111.8–211.4)	170.0 (115.0–237.5)	0.667
		6.7 (6.0–7.8)	6.3 (6.1–7.6)	6.7 (6.0–7.8)	0.472
Respiratory rate         20.0 (16.0-26.0)         21.5 (16.5-29.3)         20.0 (16.0-25.0)         0.336	Plateau pressure	20.0 (17.0–24.0)	19.0 (16.0–22.0)	21.0 (17.0–24.0)	0.369
	Respiratory rate	20.0 (16.0–26.0)	21.5 (16.5–29.3)	20.0 (16.0–25.0)	0.336

IQR: interquartile range. AHRF: acute hypoxemic respiratory failure. Pa0<sub>2</sub>:FiO<sub>2</sub>: partial pressure of arterial oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen ratio. **a** Twenty-three (47.9%) out of the 48 patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF had at least one more risk factor of AHRF (other than drug overdose). **b** Other risk factors include blood transfusion, smoke inhalation, near drowning, pancreatitis and burn. **c** Twenty-two (45.8%) of the 48 patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF and 201 (16.3%) of the 1232 patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF were extubated at the first day following intubation.

Figure 2. Lower unadjusted mortality among patients with drug-overdose AHRF was significantly mediated by the

development of rapidly improving AHRF (p<0.001 for the average causal mediation effect).

## Table 2. Outcomes of patients with AHRF in each of the compared groups during a 30-day period between 1 July and 1 October 2016 (N=1280)

Outcome	All (N=1280)	Drug overdose (N=48)	Non-drug overdose (N=1232)	р
28-day mortality, n (%)	432 (33.8)	8 (16.7)	424 (34.4)	0.011
Ventilator-free daysª, median (IQR)	19.0 (0.0–26.0)	24.5 (17.3–27.0)	18.0 (0.0–26.0)	<0.001
ICU-free days <sup>b</sup> , median (IQR)	16.0 (0.0–24.0)	24.0 (17.0–27.0)	15.0 (0.0–24.0)	<0.001
Rapidly improving° AHRF, n (%)	326 (25.5)	24 (50.0)	302 (24.5)	<0.001

AHRF: acute hypoxemic respiratory failure. ICU: intensive care unit. a Ventilator-free days were defined as the number of days from the end of the last period of assisted breathing up to day 28. Hospitalized patients who died before day 28 were considered to have zero ventilator-free days. b ICU-free days were defined as the number of days that the patient was alive and not in the ICU. c Rapidly improving AHRF was defined as extubation or having a partial pressure of arterial oxygen to a fraction of inspired oxygen ratio greater than 300 on the first day following intubation. Extubation on the first day following intubation took place for 22 (45.8%) of the 48 patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF and 201 (16.3%) of the 1232 patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF.

# Table 3. Cox proportional-hazards regression analyses to isolate the contribution of drug overdose,age and the concurrent (i.e. along with drug overdose) presence of sepsis or shock as risk factorsof AHRF (independent variables) to the 28-day mortality (dependent variable)

Variable	Univariable analysis			Multivariable analysis		
	HR	95% CI	р	AHR	95% CI	р
Drug overdose	0.45	0.22-0.91	0.025	0.58	0.29–1.19	0.136
Age	1.01	1.01-1.02	<0.001	1.01	1.00-1.02	<0.001
Concurrent presence of sepsis or shock as risk factors of AHRF	1.7	1.40-2.05	<0.001	1.61	1.33–1.94	<0.001

AHR: adjusted hazard ratio. AHRF: acute hypoxemic respiratory failure.

Figure 2. Mediation analysis by considering drug-overdose associated acute hypoxemic respiratory failure (AHRF) as the independent predictor of 28-day mortality and rapidly improving AHRF as the potential mediator



Lower unadjusted mortality among patients with drug-overdose AHRF was significantly mediated by development of rapidly improving AHRF.

### DISCUSSION

By incorporating data from 1280 patients with AHRF enrolled in the LOTUS FRUIT prospective observational study<sup>8</sup>, the present secondary analysis showed that patients with drugoverdose associated AHRF were younger and more likely to develop rapidly improving AHRF than those with non-drugoverdose associated AHRF. Also, patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF had lower unadjusted mortality compared to patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF. However, after adjustment, drug overdose was no longer associated with lower mortality and in a causal mediation analysis, lower unadjusted mortality among patients with drugoverdose AHRF was found to be significantly mediated by the development of rapidly improving AHRF.

Despite considerable recent evidence on the epidemiology of critically ill patients with drug overdose<sup>2,22-26</sup>, there might

still be a lack of studies directly comparing AHRF associated with drug overdose as opposed to AHRF associated with other risk factors. This was revealed in a relevant systematic review which we performed in order to identify observational studies reporting on clinical characteristics and mortality of patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF. The protocol of the systematic review was registered with PROSPERO (CRD42022363770) and is available online<sup>27</sup>. Eligible studies reported that patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF were young and had short duration of mechanical ventilation (median duration up to 5.0 days)<sup>28-30</sup>. Some, but not all, patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF included in those studies also met the criteria of ARDS<sup>28-30</sup>. Moreover, previous studies reported that almost half of patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF got extubated the day after intubation; i.e. they had rapidly improved AHRF<sup>28,31</sup>. The above clinical features (young age and rapidly improving AHRF) were confirmed in our analysis. The originality of our analysis lies in that, contrary to the abovementioned studies<sup>22-26,28-31</sup>, it directly compared patients with drug-overdose AHRF and patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF.

We found that patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF had lower (16.7% vs 34.4%) unadjusted mortality than patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF. This finding was in line with a recent study of ICU patients hospitalized with severe pneumonia, who reported that drug abuse was associated with decreased in-hospital mortality (OR=0.46; 95% CI 0.39-0.53) compared to no substance abuse<sup>32</sup>. Such findings (lower unadjusted mortality of patients with AHRF associated with drug overdose compared to other risk factors) should not lead to the misinterpretation that drug-overdose associated AHRF may be inconsequential. Indeed, after adjusting for confounders such as age, we found that mortality associated with drug overdose was comparable with mortality associated with other risk factors among patients with AHRF. Moreover, lower unadjusted mortality among patients with drug-overdose AHRF was significantly mediated by the development of rapidly improving AHRF. Taken together, the above findings may provide valuable insights into the association between drug overdose and mortality among patients with AHRF.

### Limitations

The present analysis has limitations. Although there were available high-quality data from 1280 patients with AHRF, conducted by the PETAL Network, drug-overdose associated AHRF was present in 48 patients (i.e. the sample size of our analysis was not large). Even so, this analysis allowed us to perform the first study, to our knowledge, that directly compares AHRF associated with drug overdose and AHRF associated with other risk factors. Also, information was lacking regarding the type of drug used by the enrolled patients, which is an important limitation given that different drugs may have different clinical respiratory pictures and severity. However, this is not unusual for studies on ARDS<sup>7</sup>. Besides, given that the LOTUS FRUIT study took place in North America in 2016<sup>8</sup>, one may assume that most drugoverdose cases were due to opioids.

### CONCLUSIONS

This secondary analysis of the LOTUS FRUIT study showed that patients with drug-overdose associated AHRF were younger and had lower unadjusted mortality than patients with non-drug-overdose associated AHRF. However, this difference in mortality seemed to be due to confounders, such as age, and to be mediated by the development of rapidly improving AHRF. These results may provide insights into the association between drug overdose and mortality among patients with AHRF.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This secondary analysis used LOTUS FRUIT study material conducted by the PETAL Network and obtained from the Biologic Specimen and Data Repository Information Coordinating Center of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). The article does not necessarily reflect the opinions or views of the researchers who performed the LOTUS FRUIT study or the NHLBI. The authors acknowledge the incredible work of the PETAL Network researchers, without which this analysis would not have been possible. The authors gratefully thank M.N. Gong for providing additional information regarding the LOTUS FRUIT study.

### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest and none was reported.

### **FUNDING**

This study was supported by a grant to IIS from the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (H.F.R.I.) under the 2<sup>nd</sup> Call for H.F.R.I. Research Projects to support Post-Doctoral Researchers (Project Number: 80-1/15.10.2020).

## ETHICAL APPROVAL AND INFORMED CONSENT

Ethical approval was obtained from Institutional Review Board of Evaggelismos Hospital (Approval number: 398/9-11-2022; Date: 9 November 2022), which also waived the need for informed consent (non-human subjects research).

### **DATA AVAILABILITY**

The data supporting this research are available from the authors on reasonable request.

### **PROVENANCE AND PEER REVIEW**

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

KG: contributed to study design and data interpretation, and

### **Research paper**

critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. EP: designed the study, contributed to data cleaning and data interpretation, undertook statistical analyses, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. NA and SG: contributed to data interpretation and critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. IIS: conceived the study and contributed to study design and data interpretation, critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. and supervised the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### REFERENCES

- World Drug Report 2022. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. Accessed February 3, 2023. <u>https://www.unodc.org/</u> res/wdr2022/MS/WDR22\_Special\_Points.pdf
- Stevens JP, Wall MJ, Novack L, Marshall J, Hsu DJ, Howell MD. The Critical Care Crisis of Opioid Overdoses in the United States. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2017;14(12):1803-1809. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.201701-0220C
- Wilson KC, Saukkonen JJ. Acute respiratory failure from abused substances. J Intensive Care Med. 2004;19(4):183-193. doi:10.1177/0885066604263918
- Sterrett C, Brownfield J, Korn CS, Hollinger M, Henderson SO. Patterns of presentation in heroin overdose resulting in pulmonary edema. Am J Emerg Med. 2003;21(1):32-34. doi:10.1053/ajem.2003.50006
- Pelletier DE, Andrew TA. Common Findings and Predictive Measures of Opioid Overdoses. Acad Forensic Pathol. 2017;7(1):91-98. doi:10.23907/2017.011
- Friedman J, Akre S. COVID-19 and the Drug Overdose Crisis: Uncovering the Deadliest Months in the United States, January-July 2020. Am J Public Health. 2021;111(7):1284-1291. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2021.306256
- Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, et al. Epidemiology, Patterns of Care, and Mortality for Patients With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome in Intensive Care Units in 50 Countries. JAMA. 2016;315(8):788-800. doi:<u>10.1001/jama.2016.0291</u>
- Lanspa MJ, Gong MN, Schoenfeld DA, et al. Prospective Assessment of the Feasibility of a Trial of Low-Tidal Volume Ventilation for Patients with Acute Respiratory Failure. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2019;16(3):356-362. doi:10.1513/ AnnalsATS.201807-4590C
- Pham T, Pesenti A, Bellani G, et al. Outcome of acute hypoxaemic respiratory failure: insights from the LUNG SAFE Study. Eur Respir J. 2021;57(6):2003317. doi:10.1183/13993003.03317-2020
- 10. ARDS Definition Task Force, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. JAMA. 2012;307(23):2526-2533. doi:10.1001/ jama.2012.5669
- 11. Harrington JS, Schenck EJ, Oromendia C, Choi AMK, Siempos II. Acute respiratory distress syndrome without identifiable risk factors: A secondary analysis of the ARDS network trials. J Crit Care. 2018;47:49-54. doi:10.1016/j.jcrc.2018.06.002

- 12. Gibelin A, Parrot A, Maitre B, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome mimickers lacking common risk factors of the Berlin definition. Intensive Care Med. 2016;42(2):164-172. doi:10.1007/s00134-015-4064-y
- 13. Papoutsi E, Giannakoulis VG, Routsi C, Kotanidou A, Siempos II. Association between ventilatory ratio and mortality persists in patients with ARDS requiring prolonged mechanical ventilation. Intensive Care Med. 2023;49(7):876-877. doi:10.1007/s00134-023-07107-7
- 14. Papoutsi E, Routsi C, Kotanidou A, Vaporidi K, Siempos II. Association between driving pressure and mortality may depend on timing since onset of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Intensive Care Med. 2023;49(3):363-365. doi:10.1007/s00134-023-06996-y
- 15. Oromendia C, Siempos II. Reclassification of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: A Secondary Analysis of the ARDS Network Trials. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2018;15(8):998-1001. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.201803-192RL
- Price DR, Hoffman KL, Sanchez E, Choi AMK, Siempos II. Temporal trends of outcomes of neutropenic patients with ARDS enrolled in therapeutic clinical trials. Intensive Care Med. 2021;47(1):122-123. doi:<u>10.1007/s00134-020-06263-4</u>
- 17. Schenck EJ, Oromendia C, Torres LK, Berlin DA, Choi AMK, Siempos II. Rapidly Improving ARDS in Therapeutic Randomized Controlled Trials. Chest. 2019;155(3):474-482. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2018.09.031
- Gavrielatou E, Vaporidi K, Tsolaki V, et al. Rapidly improving acute respiratory distress syndrome in COVID-19: a multicentre observational study. Respir Res. 2022;23(1):94. doi:10.1186/s12931-022-02015-8
- Sathe NA, Zelnick LR, Mikacenic C, et al. Identification of persistent and resolving subphenotypes of acute hypoxemic respiratory failure in two independent cohorts. Crit Care. 2021;25(1):336. doi:10.1186/s13054-021-03755-7
- 20. Imai K, Keele L, Tingley D, Yamamoto T. Unpacking the Black Box of Causality: Learning about Causal Mechanisms from Experimental and Observational Studies. Am Polit Sci Rev. 2011;105(4):765-89. doi:10.1017/S0003055411000414
- 21. MacKinnon DP, Fairchild AJ, Fritz MS. Mediation analysis. Annu Rev Psychol. 2007;58:593-614. doi:10.1146/ annurev.psych.58.110405.085542
- 22. Mehta AB, Weinstein Z, Walkey AJ. The Untold Toll of the Opioid Crisis on Intensive Care Units in the United States. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2017;14(12):1763-1765. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.201708-662ED
- 23. Burton BN, Lin TC, Said ET, Gabriel RA. National Trends and Factors Associated With Inpatient Mortality in Adult Patients With Opioid Overdose. Anesth Analg. 2019;128(1):152-160. doi:10.1213/ANE.00000000003755
- 24. Nicol AL, Colquhoun DA, Brummett CM. The More You Know: Identifying Factors Associated With Inpatient Mortality Related to Opioid Overdose Can Drive Progress in the Opioid Health Crisis. Anesth Analg. 2019;128(1):16-18. doi:10.1213/ANE.00000000003902

- 25. Goodwin AJ. Critical Care Outcomes Among Opioid Users: Hidden Sequelae of a Growing Crisis? Crit Care Med. 2018;46(6):1005-1006. doi:10.1097/ CCM.00000000003103
- Munch T, Christiansen CF, Pedersen L, Sørensen HT. Impact of Preadmission Opioid Treatment on 1-Year Mortality Following Nonsurgical Intensive Care. Crit Care Med. 2018;46(6):860-868. doi:10.1097/CCM.000000000003080
- 27. Papoutsi E, Gkirgkiris K, Siempos I. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of drug overdose-associated acute hypoxemic respiratory failure. PROSPERO. 2022. PROSPERO 2022 CRD42022363770. Accessed February 3, 2023. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record. php?ID=CRD42022363770
- Grigorakos L, Sakagianni K, Tsigou E, Apostolakos G, Nikolopoulos G, Veldekis D. Outcome of acute heroin overdose requiring intensive care unit admission. J Opioid Manag. 2010;6(3):227-231. doi:<u>10.5055/jom.2010.0021</u>
- Kummer RL, Kempainen RR, Olives TD, Leatherman JW, Prekker ME. Naloxone-associated pulmonary edema following recreational opioid overdose. Am J Emerg Med. 2022;53:41-43. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2021.12.030
- 30. Farkas A, Lynch MJ, Westover R, et al. Pulmonary Complications of Opioid Overdose Treated With Naloxone. Ann Emerg Med. 2020;75(1):39-48. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2019.04.006
- 31. Sporer KA, Dorn E. Heroin-related noncardiogenic pulmonary edema : a case series. Chest. 2001;120(5):1628-1632. doi:10.1378/chest.120.5.1628
- 32. Reynolds PM, Afshar M, Wright GC, et al. Association between Substance Misuse and Outcomes in Critically III Patients with Pneumonia. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2023;20(4):556-565. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.202206-5320C